Rachel Morpurgo

Y A E L  L E V I N E  K A T Z

The Italian Jewish poet Rachel Morpurgo, a member of the prominent Luzzatto family,1 was born in Trieste in 1790, and died in 1871, at the age of eighty-one. Rachel’s Hebrew poems were published in her lifetime in the Hebrew literary journal Kokhevei Yizhak (“The Stars of Isaac”), issued in Vienna.2

On the occasion of Rachel’s one-hundredth birthday, Rabbi Hayyim (Vittorio) Castiglioni (1840–1911), a native of her hometown, Trieste, and subsequently chief rabbi of Rome, compiled her writings, publishing them in Cracow as a volume of their own, entitled Ugav Rahel (“Rachel’s Organ”), appearing some nineteen years after her death. Ugav Rahel contains fifty of Rachel’s poems, as well as letters and additional miscellaneous writings. The introduction to the work also includes a biography of Rachel.3 This was based, in part, on materials found in the writings of her cousin Samuel David Luzzatto, known as Shadal. Castiglioni was also assisted by Perla, Rachel’s daughter, who filled in various details pertaining to her life, and provided him with the manuscripts of her mother’s writings that were in her possession. An essay on the position of women in Judaism opens the volume, preceding the biographical account,4 and followed by a scholarly article authored by Castiglioni on contemporary Italian Hebrew poetry.5

Rachel Morpurgo never received any formal education, but acquired her knowledge mostly of Jewish subjects by studying with private tutors and
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relatives. By twelve she had studied the Pentateuch with her mother’s brother, David, who never married. Prior to that, she had studied the ethical work *Hovot ha-Levavot*, by Bahya ibn Paquda of the eleventh century, and other biblical texts with the commentaries of *Mezudat David* and *Mezudat Ziyyon* by David Altschuler of the eighteenth century. With tutors she studied the commentary of Rashi as well as the popular ethical work *Menorat ha-Ma’or* by Isaac Aboab of the fourteenth century. At the age of fourteen, she commenced studying the Babylonian Talmud with a rabbi from Mantua. With him she completed the study of the entire tractate Megillah as well as several other Talmudic sections. She also studied *Reshit Hokhmah* by Elijah de Vidas, a kabbalist of the sixteenth century. With Shadal’s father, Hezekiah, she studied Talmud and math. The various tutors hired by Rachel’s father to instruct her brother Isaac, later to become a businessman in Trieste, taught her as well.

Shadal was born in the very same building as Rachel. When he was eight, his family purchased a house on the outskirts of Trieste. Every day Shadal would go to Rachel’s home to utilize the library of Hebrew books that had been bequeathed to Rachel’s brother, Isaac, by his uncle David. It was from that library that Rachel and Shadal acquired most of their Judaic knowledge. They spent many hours together studying and discussing issues of Torah and wisdom. Shadal, a rationalist, related that Rachel once asked him to secure her a copy of the Zohar. He indeed located one, and she reimbursed him for the expenditure. She then asked him what he would like in return for his effort. He said that all he requested of her was not to believe what was written in it. She responded by saying: “You have asked a difficult thing” (II Kings 2:10). Her reply quoted Elijah’s response to Elisha following the latter’s request that a double portion of the former’s spirit pass on to him (II Kings 2:9). Rachel worked in the family business, as a turner on a lathe (drechsler), a skill she learned from her uncle and father. She also sewed, making most of her own clothing.

Rachel insisted on marrying Jacob Morpurgo from Gorizia, a businessman, despite opposition on the part of her parents and other relatives, refusing to meet other men. Shadal composed a sonnet on this matter in 1816 entitled “To a Wise Woman, the Daughter of My Father’s Sister.” This commences with her praise, but goes on to criticize her for her tenaciousness in wishing to marry Morpurgo, and her reluctance to meet other young men. In response, Rachel likewise composed a sonnet, similar in meter and form to that of Shadal. The opening portion is based on the Zohar. She professed that she had found a fine man who was not interested in money, but rather in family pedigree. She
acknowledged her parents’ refusal to acquiesce, and concluded with the assertion that she would marry no one, save Jacob, not even the Messiah. After several years, her parents finally relented, and the couple was wed on Friday, March 5, 1819, shortly before her twenty-ninth birthday. In honor of the occasion Rachel herself composed the following poem.

וֹאֲתָא אָשֶׁר שְׁכַרְתּ עַל תֹּדְוָה

תֹּדְוָא לִלְאָל נַנְא
לָא-לוֹד אָזָה שָׁמָּה.
אֲשֶׁר לַא-כָּל-מְלַא.
לָא-לוֹד אָזָה שָׁמָּה.

כְּתוֹרָה יֵשׁ עִיֵּם
הָיִיתְךָ אָזָר לָם:
נַזְּב אֲבֹד טַעְמַם.
שַׁפָּם אַבּוּ נַכַּשׁ.

כְּתֹרָה יֵשׁ שָׁמָּה
הָיִיתְךָ אָזָר לָם:
נַזְּב אֲבֹד טַעְמַם.
שַׁפָּם אַבּוּ נַכַּשׁ.

כְּתֹרָה יֵשׁ שָׁמָּה
הָיִיתְךָ אָזָר לָם:
נַזְּב אֲבֹד טַעְמַם.
שַׁפָּם אַבּוּ נַכַּשׁ.

And this is the poem Rachel composed for her wedding.

Thanks to an awesome God
I will be desolate no more:
I shall cast off all fear,
I will be silent no more.

A bright-eyed bridegroom
Will be the glory of my head:
The Master of the Heavens gave
He whom my soul loves.
Bless our joyous day,
Light up our darkness;
Hasten please the End of Days.

Establish the Sanctuary,
We will then sing a new song:
His right hand has won Him victory.

The mingling of personal feeling and religious imagery makes her marriage a public event.\textsuperscript{15}

After her marriage, she had little time to devote to her studies. Rachel bore three sons and a daughter. She was occupied with raising the children and housekeeping, and subsequently did not have much free time to learn. She would study at night after she completed the household chores and after the children were asleep. Castiglioni stated that her husband did not find much joy in her studies nor in her writings, but rather in his business affairs, and she fulfilled everything he requested of her. The sons followed in the footsteps of their father, becoming businessmen. According to Castiglioni, they themselves opted not to marry. Perla, her daughter, also never married. Even after the children were grown, Rachel was free to write only at night, since as her daughter recollected, she had no help in the home, while the household chores grew. She also devoted the days of Rosh Hodesh, when it was customary in certain Jewish communities for women to refrain from housework, to her writing. When she could not sleep, she would arise and commit some lines to writing, lest she forget them. Only after her husband realized that many prominent writers of the time lauded her,\textsuperscript{16} did he and their sons come to recognize the full extent of her talent.

In a letter written in 1858 to the editor of \textit{Kokhevei Yizhak}, for instance, she mentioned that she was writing on the Eve of Yom Kippur. She apologized for being unable to adhere to her initial intention of sending him four poems, stating that since it was the third hour past midnight, she would have to send the fourth another time.\textsuperscript{17}

These three poems as well as her accompanying letter were subsequently published in the twenty-fifth issue of \textit{Kokhevei Yizhak}, issued in 1860.\textsuperscript{18} One of Rachel's poems explores the inner turmoil she experienced in her attempt to reconcile her literary life and her duties and obligations as a homemaker.\textsuperscript{19}
Rachel continued to compose poems and write letters, despite the stroke she suffered in 1865, at the age of seventy-five. Her later writings remained unpublished in her day. Her last poem was written several days prior to her death. The last word she wrote was “Elohai,” “My God.”

The sonnet that Rachel had composed concerning Jacob Morpurgo in response to Shadal’s appeared in 1847, i.e., some two years after *Kokhevei Yizhak* commenced publication, at the initiative of Shadal. Already in her teens she wrote the epitaph of her tombstone, which was indeed later used. The poem Rachel composed in honor of her wedding was published in the tenth issue of *Kokhevei Yizhak*. From that issue onwards, the writings of Rachel, be it poem, riddle or letter, appeared regularly until the twenty-second issue published in 1856, and thereafter in issues twenty-five and twenty-six (1860 and 1861). Shadal continued to serve as her patron, encouraging her to pursue her writing. Rachel generally sent her poems to Shadal, who forwarded them to the editor of *Kokhevei Yizhak*. Shadal himself, in a letter written to Abraham Geiger, stated that it was he who “gave Hebrew literature a live poetess.”

Many of her poems address friends, male and female.

Apart from sonnets, which comprise a considerable portion of Rachel’s poems, six of her poems assume the form of emblem-riddles. Generally, these were preceded by an enigmatic picture or a verbal description of it, i.e., the riddle’s image. Three of Rachel’s poems include such a verbal description.

Rachel composed a riddle for Tamar Luzzatto, wife of the rabbi of Padua Mordecai Samuel Ghirondi (1799–1852) and cousin to both Rachel and Shadal. Ghirondi was also author of *Toledot Gedolei Yisrael*, an avid collector of Hebrew manuscripts and a close friend to Shadal. The solution to the riddle is the lulav, which in the bible is called “Kapot Temarim” (branches of palm-trees) (Leviticus 23:40), Tamar being the personal name of Rachel’s cousin.
A small riddle, without a meter and rhythm in honor of the wise-hearted woman, and on whose tongue is the law of kindness, Tamar Luzzatto, a woman of valor, her husband is prominent in the gates, the great Rabbi in the faithful city Padua, Rabbi Mordecai Samuel Ghirondi,

I have heard a rumor
That even though you are modest
When the time will arrive.
All of the Congregation of Jeshurun
At your palm will bless you
And also water you:
Fear not this
Because your mate in all this
Will not demean you:
He is glorious and magnificent
As a citron tree.

In 1851, Rachel composed a poem in honor of the birthday of educator and scholar Mayer Randegger (1780–1853). From 1810 he began teaching Shadal German and French, and was “first responsible for his interest in the broad vista of general European culture.” He fulfilled a decisive role in the shaping of several aspects of his scholarship. It was owing to his insistence that Shadal commenced sending his essays on Hebrew synonyms to the Hebrew
literary journal *Bikkurei ha-Ittim*, and to his encouragement that Shadal published the compilation of poems that he had composed in his teens. Mayer’s son, Giuseppè Aron, was Shadal’s first student, and Shadal regarded him as a son. He too became an educator, and remained in close contact with Shadal. In 1851, Randegger published a Passover haggadah that included an Italian translation rendered by his daughter. The opening section of Rachel’s poem makes special mention of Randegger’s daughter, whose rendition had been shown to her. Upon seeing this, Rachel “rejoiced in the joy of the Torah.”

“Oh! desolate valley,” a lyric poem composed in 1867 and included in an anthology of Hebrew poetry edited by Asher Barash published in Jerusalem in 1938, Joseph Klausner thought to be her best.

**וֹם מִשְׁאָל עֵשֶׂית מְאַמְּרָה כָּל שְׁמוֹ אָוִרִי בָּבֶר לְפִּי כָּל מַעְט אַל לוֹ:**

**ודָּר עֵמֶק עַבּוֹר**

בּוּשָּׂתִּמָּה הַלְּמֹרָה אַנשָּׁקְמַּה

נִמרְחוּת אוֹדֶּה יָשָׁש מִיְּמָה יַגְּדוֹת

כְּבִי נִשָּׁר אָשְׁאָה צִכִּית אַנַּשָּׁה

בְּשָׁמַּת לְזָהָהוּת אָרִים קְפָאַה!

**הַשָּׁקָה! **מִזְמֹר יָשָׁש תֵּחָלְצָה

מַכּוֹם שֵׁם הָזְרָעַה נִנְחָה וְחָפִישָה

וְחָרְחַת לְשָׁבוּת יֵל בֵּמְתִיק

פָּדָה פָּרָגָה מִזֵּה מִיְּהוּ בֵּי צִיָּה!

Nor have I done anything here that they should have put me in the dungeon (Genesis 40:15).

**Oh. Desolate Valley**

Oh! desolate valley darkness mist
How long will you keep me chained in bronze fetters?
I would rather die, rather dwell in the shade of God
Than sit solitary in the depths of the waters!

The eternal hills I watch from afar
And glorious flowers their faces will don eternity.
I will take me eagles’ wings, my eyes I will raise,
To gaze at the sun I will lift my forehead!

O skies! how fair your paths,
Where freedom is eternity will appear,
And winds that are blowing through your lofty places
How sweet they are, who can who can utter!

The publication of Hebrew poetry composed by a woman drew a considerable amount of interest and attention. The very notion appeared to be inconceivable to many, who were convinced that the author was actually male. Apart from her own writings, no less than nine poems in honor of Rachel appeared in various issues of Kokhevi Yizhak in which she won the acclaim and reverence of her contemporary male counterparts; amongst them rabbis, poets, and authors. She herself responded to several of them in verse form.

Already in her time Rachel served as forerunner and role model to later female Hebrew writers and poets of the nineteenth century, such as Jetty Wohllerner, Miriam Markel-Moseson, a translator into Hebrew, Sarah Shapira, Breindel Gold, Sheindel Segal, and Deborah Weissman.

Contrary to the recognition and acclaim accorded Rachel in the writings of her contemporaries including her reviewers after her death, her writing was disparaged by Dan Miron who minimized her contribution to and the mark she impressed on Hebrew literature. Prior to the “lyric breakthroughs associated with the appearance of the first women Hebrew poets in the years after World War I,” “there were incidental cases of educated women whose circumstances and thirst for learning brought them to acquire a knowledge of Bible, Midrash or even Gemara, and who wrote poems for certain occasions,” wrote Miron. “[E]ven the best known of these, Rachel Morpurgo . . . was a minor poetic talent. Only her letters and her prefatory comments to her poems are readable today. The praise showered on her poems in her own time—like the interest shown in her at later times by historians, scholars, and critics—resulted from the oddity of her being a woman Hebrew rhymester.” Miron’s comment does not do justice to her work.
Several scholars considered and reflected upon Rachel’s poetic talent in the wider context and broader perspective of the Luzzatto family in general. A recurring theme in the moving Hebrew essay on Morpurgo by Abraham Shlonsky is the notion that her soul was a spark of that of her forebear Ramhal.\textsuperscript{42} And Dov Sadan commenced his essay on Rachel by posing the question of whether Rachel’s achievement should be viewed as a product of the illustrious Luzzatto family, or, perhaps, as a direct result of her unique personality, which was distinguished by her desire to act according to her own will. He posited that it is possible that herein rested her desire to study Torah, a trade, as well as her insistence upon marrying the man of her choice.\textsuperscript{43}

Rachel probably owes her fame to Rabbi Hayyim (Vittorio) Castiglioni, a disciple of Shadal, who was a native of Trieste and taught mathematics and pedagogy until he was summoned in 1903 to Rome where he assumed the position of Chief Rabbi, a title he held until his death in 1911. In 1904, he dedicated the new Great Synagogue of Rome in the presence of King Victor Emmanuel III and other Italian officials.\textsuperscript{44} Rachel was fifty years his elder, and he, thus, came into contact with her in her later years, at a time when she had already gained acclaim and renown.

\textit{Ugav Rahel} was but one of the published works of Castiglioni, a prolific author and poet himself. Another work of his, recalling the form of \textit{Ugav Rahel}, is \textit{Dvar Shmuel}, published in Cracow in 1895. It is a compilation of the poems and letters of scholar and teacher Samuel Lolli. Much as the publication of \textit{Ugav Rahel} served to mark the hundredth birthday of Rachel Morpurgo, Castiglioni published in 1900, in commemoration of the hundredth birthday of Samuel David Luzzatto, a volume of his letters. Prior to that, already in 1895 he published his Hebrew translation of Shadal’s essay on the history of the Hebrew language. Other works of Castiglioni include \textit{Pe’er ha-Adam}, which contains a discussion of Darwin’s theories (Cracow, 1892), and \textit{Nizmei ha-Zahan}, a book of his sonnets (Frankfurt, 1907). He translated the Mishnah into Italian (1895), and published a special Hebrew prayerbook for students, which was reissued several times.\textsuperscript{45} He also contributed to various publications, amongst them the noted Italian Jewish monthly \textit{Il Vessillo Israeleitico}.\textsuperscript{46}

The first and opening portion of the introduction to \textit{Ugav Rahel} is a discourse on the situation of the Jewish woman, in which Castiglioni sought to dispel accusations voiced at the time in Christian circles to the effect that the position of women in Judaism was lowly and inferior to that of their male counterparts, and that Christianity alone was responsible for improving the position of women.\textsuperscript{47} In his attempt to dismiss these claims, he utilized biblical
and talmudic sources which, in his opinion, point to the high standing of women in Judaism already in antiquity. An Italian version of the entire preface follows the Hebrew version.48

He notes that among the many allegations,

against the Jewish religion and customs ignorantly and erroneously upheld by our adversaries was the notion that the woman was considered to be disdainful and despised as a servant and counted merely as chattel to be used when necessary and disposed of when no longer needed; that Christianity alone bettered the situation of women, raising them to the position of men, freeing them from his control. However, in reality, there is no truth whatsoever to this charge, because even if we were to assume that in ancient times the Jewish woman was not as important as the man—a situation that is not to be found at present amongst the gentiles, who seek to perfect themselves, nor one that will ever exist, since there are inherent differences between the characteristics of man and woman as well as distinct purposes to their existence—nevertheless, there are no historical events, rules or laws in the Bible or Oral law which can justify such a claim. On the contrary, not only have any obstacles prevented her from presiding over her family within the confines of her home, but she could also lead state matters and attain prophecy, which is the highest level merited by a select few, perfected in wisdom, knowledge and all virtues.

He justifies his claim by biblical reference,

I shall commence by mentioning that already at the outset the Torah instructed us concerning the equality between man and woman. We find that Adam acknowledges: “This one at last is bone of my bones and flesh of my flesh. This one shall be called woman, for from man was she taken” (Genesis 2:23). Following it is written: “Hence a man leaves his father and mother and clings to his wife, so that they become one flesh” (Genesis 2:24). Is there any greater equality than this? And, truly, even though the Torah did not prohibit the taking of many wives, as was the custom of the surrounding nations, nevertheless, only one wife was generally taken. This is what the Torah meant when saying “And clings to his wife,” stressing “wife,” and not “wives.”49

Our saintly fathers have already set us an example concerning the duty incumbent upon every man to respect his wife and listen to her, as did Abraham when Sarah placed her servant in his bosom, and when she later sent her away with his beloved son. It was regarding this matter that God said to him: “Whatever Sarah tells you, do as she says” (Genesis 21:12). When Jacob our forefather conceived of misleading his father-in-law who had deceived him tenfold and return to his own land clandestinely, he did not coerce his wives to heed to him and leave, even though God had commanded him: “Now, arise and leave this land and return to your native land” (Genesis 31:13), but rather sought their approval. It was when they
expressed their consent that he took them, the children and all his possessions and departed. Despite the fact that Jacob did not love Leah to the extent he loved Rachel, he buried her in the Cave of Machpelah alongside his ancestors and made Joseph promise to bury him nearby her, saying: “And there I buried Leah” (Genesis 49:31). Judah, too, upon hearing Tamar’s accusation, admitted his wrongdoing, confessing: “She is more in the right than I.” (Genesis 38:26)

His discussion ranges through the Judges, Samuel I and II, and Kings,

Likewise, the daughters of Israel were not forced to remain constantly within the confines of home as prisoners, because even if one assumes that it wasn’t befitting for women to leave their homes and mingle publicly with men, they were, in fact, free to come and go as they pleased. They also participated in all national and local events, as can be seen from Miriam the prophetess, who chanted with all the women the Song of the Sea after Moses and the men (Exodus 15:20–22). Deborah persuaded Barak to rebel against Jabin, king of Canaan, to cast off his yoke from the neck of the people of Israel, went to war with Barak, and, following the victory, sang a glorious song (Judges, chapters 4–5), which until this day is wondrous to all who read it.

The examples he offers emphasize the power of these women:

The women went out and offered praise to King Saul and to his commander, David. Queen Michal did not refrain from speaking ruthfully to David for carrying the Ark of the Covenant from the home of Obed-Edom to the city of David, an act which displeased her, despite the fact that he was king (II Samuel 6:9–23). Would an abject maidservant dare do such a thing? The wise woman of Tekoa convinced David to reconcile with Absalom (II Samuel, chapter 14). A wise woman spoke to the inhabitants of Abel, and persuaded them to behead the rebellious Sheba ben Bichri, thus restoring peace (II Samuel, chapter 20). These prooftexts, including Hannah’s prayer, serve to disprove the assumption of our slanderers that the women of Israel were ignorant.50

His justification becomes an argument for the importance of women in traditional Jewish life:

The Exodus from Egypt was the most central event in the history of the Jewish people in the eyes of our prophets and sages, culminating in the formation of Israel as a nation. It is our obligation to remember the redemption all our lives, since the signs and wonders witnessed by our ancestors at the time were so marvelous and awesome that it is stated that “A maidservant perceived in the light of the Divine Presence on the sea and at the Giving of the Law what the prophet Ezekiel did not see.”51
Castiglioni made it possible for us to become acquainted with and enjoy Rachel Morpurgo's poetry. One of her most charming poems is a farewell poem to her cousin Rachel Luzzatto upon her marriage to Solomon Sullam, which mirrors the close relationship they shared.52

רחל סמך רוח נושאת
כשתו צול הביתה
יאבקנה יי מאשנה
על ראשה נבק מתנה.

Rachel with Rachel is bonded
Like a necklace about the neck,
Your love for me is an ornament
On my head you are a crown.

The nimble play of rhyme and wit in this poem offer the pleasure her contemporaries found in her work.
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15. Shadal also wrote a sonnet on occasion of Rachel’s marriage (*Kinnor Na'im*, II, p. 204). Rachel was later to compose one upon the marriage of Shadal (*KY* 17 [1852]: 74 [= *UR*, p. 67]).
16. See in further detail below.
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24. S. D. Luzzatto, *Epistolario Italiano Francesi Latino* (Padova, 1890), II, p. 623. The majority of Rachel’s poems were occasional, i.e., composed for specific events, as was the custom of the time. The Austrian revolution of 1848 served as inspiration for the poem “The Events of the Times,” in which she noted the disaster and ruin that the war had sired, stating that the servants of God bear the Yoke of their Rock. She concluded by expressing her hope that God would bring the Messiah (*KY* 14 [1851]: 2 [= *UR*, p. 59]). The Jewish movement for the return to the Land of Israel evoked in her profound feelings of redemption. She composed a poem in honor of Moses Montefiore’s journey to Jerusalem in 1855, the entourage of whom passed, among other places, through Trieste (*KY* 21 [1856]: 77 [= *UR*, p. 71]). She herself wished to accompany him and his wife Judith on this journey, and serve Judith Montefiore. This prospect did not, however, materialize.
25. The subject of a poem composed in 1855 is the cholera epidemic that broke out the same year (*KY* 22 [1858]: 36–37 [= *UR*, p. 72]). She composed a poem concerning the comet that was seen in the skies in 1859 (*UR*, p. 84). In a letter dated 1869, she made mention of the newly-discovered Falasha tribe (*UR*, p. 116). Rachel composed a poem in honor of the biblical prophetess Deborah (*UR*, p. 83).

The halakhic discussions on the possibility of sacrificing the Passover offering on the Temple Mount, even without the existence of the Temple itself, that were voiced in the nineteenth century, found their expression in one of her poems (*KY* 15 [1851]: 2 [= *UR*, p. 60]). She composed a prayer of the “Mi she-Beraḥkh” type in which she expressed her hope that this would soon come to pass (*UR*, p. 107). In her version of the prayer, she invoked the patriarchs as well as the patriarchs. Various prayers of the “Mi she-Beraḥkh” form pertaining to women are known, such as for childbirth and for sick women (On prayers of the Mi she-Beraḥkh type see: A. Yaari, “Tefillot ‘Mi she-Beraḥkh’” (Hebrew), *Kitur Sifer* 33 [5718]: 118–120; 233–230; Yaari, “Tosafot la-Ma‘amar Tefillot ‘Mi she-Beraḥkh’” (Hebrew), *Kitur Sifer* 36 [5721]: 103–118; Daniel Y. Cohen, “He‘arot u-Millu‘im le-Mekkharot shel A. Yaari al Tefillot ‘Mi she-Beraḥkh,’” *Kitur Sifer* 40 [5725]: 542–559). However, this is the only known prayer of this form to have been composed until Rachel’s time by a woman.
26. She, thus, composed a poem lauding poet, scholar and book collector Joseph Almanzi of Padua (1801–1860) (UR, p. 81. See: Gedalyah Elkoshi, “Almanzi, Joseph,” Encyclopedia Judaica, Vol. 2, pp. 660–661). In the last two years of his life he resided in Trieste, and would often frequent her home and consult with her on various literary matters (UR, “Introduction,” p. 7). She wrote a poem in honor of rabbis Mordecai Ashkenazi, dayan in Trieste, as well as his son in law (UR, p. 86), and a poem of thanksgiving to the doctor who operated on her finger (UR, p. 97). She composed an eulogy in memory of Rabbi Sabbato Graziodi Treves, chief rabbi and dayan of Trieste, upon his passing in 1856. In 1870, she composed one in memory of the aforementioned Rabbi Ashkenazi (UR, p. 98). On poems addressed to female contemporaries, see further below.
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32. Margolies, Samuel David Luzzatto, pp. 25, 32–33, 125.
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