Group Supports Legal Protest

By PAUL EASTMAN

The Faculty and Students for Free Speech Association (FSF) last night gave support to a "legal demonstration" protesting the Speakers' Rule on Wednesday.

Arnold White, spokesman for the group, said that the group's support would definitely not include support for a sit-in demonstration in the Administration Building after 5 p.m.

The University Student Handbook states "...picketing is not permitted inside public buildings." Outside picketing is permitted provided that it doesn't interfere with traffic or flow of pedestrians.

The group, which was organized to work with student organizations to provide an outlet for legal student protest of the Speakers' Rule, drafted a resolution which said:

"Provided the administration has shown no signs of good faith before Wednesday, April 28, FSF-2 recognizes and supports an orderly, lawful demonstration as a sincere expression of student opinion in protest of the Speakers' Rule."

FSF-2 hopes that the demonstration will be successful and that some modification of the Speakers' Rule will develop from it.

FSF-2 will continue to urge students to be aware of the "problems and inequalities of the Speakers' Rule" and to enlist the efforts of student organizations in support of a change of the Speakers' Rule before the end of the quarter.

The resolution was passed after more than two hours of debate.

Book Ex-Jurist For FSF Rally

Former Ohio Supreme Court Justice Rankin M. Gibson and State Representative Marjorie Valliquette of Toledo will address students next Thursday at a Free Speech Front (FSF) speak-in.

Formally titled "A Symposium on Free Speech," the meeting will be held in University Hall auditorium from noon until 5 p.m.

Rankin told the Lantern last night that he plans to review for his hearers at the speak-in a survey he made some two years ago of how other schools and colleges in Ohio have handled the speaker problem.

He has made a number of campus appearances in Ohio since his first talk at Toledo University two years ago, Rankin said. He declined to reveal his conclusions drawn from the survey.

Gordon Grigsby, assistant professor of English and an member of the FSF coordinating committee, has been given official permission to use University Hall for the speak-in. As a non-recognized student group, FSF cannot hold meetings on campus in its own name.

FSF spokesman Sanford Weinberg advocated such a meeting once a quarter.

Weinberg suggested that faculty members could discuss a problem of interest to students on one special day each quarter.

"Such problems as compulsory residence in dormitories or military conscription might be discussed," Weinberg said.

Marxist author Herbert Aptheker, barred from speaking on campus last spring under the terms of the present Speakers' Rule, has been asked to speak at Ohio State in October. At a meeting Monday night attended by about 200 persons, John Manning, FSF chairman, said a definite date had been set for Aptheker's speech, but declined to announce the date until Autumn Quarter.

Aptheker could not be reached to confirm the engagement.

Petitions are being circulated on campus to obtain student and faculty approval of Aptheker's proposed appearance, whether or not the Speakers' Rule is changed. Manning asked all FSF members to contact personally graduate students and faculty members to solicit their signatures for the invitation to Aptheker.
‘Union’ Replaces FSF

Speech Front ‘Dies’ As Members Bicker

By TOM W. SESLAR
Lantern City Editor

The Free Speech Front (FSF) died last night.

About 100 persons at the Universalist Campus Center, 121 E. 16th Ave., voted to replace FSF with the Union of Ohio State Students and to seek University recognition for the new organization.

The old FSF members quibbled among themselves for nearly two hours before voting 54-26 to seek recognition.

Led Picketing

FSF, the group which last spring organized hundreds of students and faculty for rallies, pickets and sit-ins protesting the old Speakers’ Rule, never sought recognition.

Controversy over the old rule blazed during the spring and summer after the University denied Students for Liberal Action (SLA) permission to invite Herbert Aptheker to speak on campus. Aptheker is the director of the National Institute for Marxist Studies.

The old rule gave the University president authority to screen any guest speakers invited by student organizations. It was modified at the September meeting of the University Board of Trustees.

Argue on Officers

Those at last night’s meeting also argued about whether to elect officers last night or at a future meeting. After they decided to hold an election, they argued about nominating procedures.

It was not clear what the newly formed union should be.

Some indicated they viewed it as something similar to a labor union which would use pressure tactics to force change in various University policies.

Others talked about it as if it would be a rule-abiding organization designed to encourage change through regular channels.

Laments Session

At one point, Evelyn (Toby) Emmer, a former FSF leader stood up and said: “I am very disturbed about what has happened tonight. The thing that made FSF great was that it acted. If our next meeting is like this one, there no longer will be an organization anything like FSF.”

The few who had remained applauded.

Earlier Miss Emmer had said that she thought last night’s crowd was small because “some people undoubtedly think the battle has been won.” I don’t know if the Speakers’ Rule battle is won, and we can’t know until the new rule is thoroughly tested.

“You Must Decide”

“But you must decide whether this University is the way you want it,” she continued. “If it isn’t you must decide how you want to change it.”

Finally the group did decide to nominate members for the union’s coordinating committee.

Among the nominees are: Miss Emmer, Donna Trugman, Sanford Weilburg, Mark Kohn, Michael Murtha and Karen Thomas.

An election of nine mem-

bers of the committee is scheduled for Monday evening.

Several members of the group said that Dennis Knepley, SLA president, had requested University permission to invite Aptheker to return to campus for a speech Oct. 18...

A University rule forbids announcements of such invitations before permission has been granted, but Knepley left no doubt that SLA would attempt to bring Aptheker here Oct. 18.
By DONNA J. PLESH

Jeffrey H. Schwartz, former Free Speech Front (FSF) leader, outlined a four-point program of action last night for the newly formed successor to FSF, the "Union of Ohio State Students."

The Ohio State graduate addressed a meeting of approximately 60 persons sponsored by the Student Religious Liberals at the Universalist Church.

Schwartz's plan included:

- The creation of "a real student body power on campus."
- The abolishment of student government.
- An open forum at which students could question President Fawcett and other administrative officials.
- A critique of the faculty "which would name names and give the students' point of view as to why the faculty has failed them."

"We learned from FSF that there is a need for a political organization on campus," Schwartz said. "The individual student can't be heard unless he has a group. Since the Speakers' Rule has been changed, the administration hasn't done any real talking to or with the students. The faculty hasn't done anything either. They're just too tired and too old to get moving."

Graduate Student

Schwartz is now a graduate student in political science at Columbia University.

"Changes must be made on this campus and the Union of Students can help to bring these changes about," he said. "I suggest that the Union get down to some serious organizational work. They should make speeches and earn money. As a beginning they should aim for a membership of 1,000 students with a membership fee of $1. It is up to them to do something for the students since the student government is a lie and a farce. There is no student government because they are not governing anything or anyone."

(The name. "The Union of Ohio State Students." cannot be used if the organization becomes recognized by the University, John T. Bonner, dean of student relations, said last week.)

Schwartz suggested that the Union of Students obtain petitions signed by 4 per cent of the students to have a referendum for the abolition of the student government placed before the student body.

"I honestly believe that if it came to a vote the students would vote to abolish government," he said. "Then the Union of Students can work for the formation of the Ohio State Student University."

Asks Regular Forum

Schwartz said that question forum should be held regularly. "The forum would give the students an opportunity to question the administration on its actions and make the students feel a part of the university," he said.

"For a long time now the faculty has abrogated any real responsibility. It's time to take the faculty to task on this."

Schwartz said no one should be really happy with the changes in the Speaker's Rule.

"The new rule is most unfortunate in many ways — there is still something more that has to be done here," he said.

Schwartz also said that student activities should be run by the students.

"A Union of Students could get together and organize these activities because, as it stands now, if Dean Bonner says certain funds can't be allocated for student activities they aren't allocated." (Reached at his home last night, Bonner said he had not made a statement that funds would not be allocated.)

Further, he suggested that the Union of Students draw up a Student Bill of Rights which would guarantee freedom of speech, press and assembly on campus.

Asks End of Deans

"Another goal of the union should be to abolish offices of deans," he said. "If we have a real student university, run by the students, then there isn't any real need for deans."

"All of what I'm advocating is real student participation in student activities. We want to build the best possible university here. The administration and some of the faculty members are in our way, so the only way we can get real action is by uniting to get things we want done."

"The student movement must begin to use means of protests, new creative ones in order to build a great university."
FSF States Goal: To Secure Student Rights

By KEITH D. SMITH

The Union of Ohio State Students formally changed its name last week in a bid to gain University recognition. The new name—Free Student Federation—has more than a superficial connection with the Free Speech Front of last Spring Quarter which concern itself with getting Ohio State's Speakers' Rule changed.

The formation of a "union of students" to succeed the Free Speech Front was discussed as early as Spring Quarter by FSF members, Jeffrey Schwartz, said in a telephone interview.

Schwartz, former Free Speech Front leader and now a graduate student at Columbia University, said:

"Even prior to late Spring Quarter (when the Speakers' Rule seemed likely to be changed in July) we made preliminary plans for organizing another group if the rule were changed.

"At that time, however, we had no definite plans as to what the group's plans and objectives would be."

When the Board of Trustees finally approved a modified Speakers' Rule at their September meeting in Wooster, the primary reason for the Free Speech Front's existence disappeared.

On Oct. 4, when FSF members met to form their "union of students," the spirit de corps of Spring Quarter was replaced by bickering and a lack of group direction.

Schwartz's speech a week after the Union of Ohio State Students was formed, was designated to restore the cohesiveness.

"My speech may have helped to crystallize thoughts," he said. "I viewed it as an opportunity to suggest my views about where the Union could go and what sort of objectives it could have."

Schwartz's central theme was the abolition of Student Senate, which he called "a sham at student government . . . (which has) no power to make decisions."

He proposed that an open forum or powerful organization be formed which the students recognized and which had real bargaining power with the administration. Such a group would require widespread student membership, he said.

Among other things, this group should establish a student bill of rights which included provisions for freedom of speech and freedom of association. The latter includes the right to form student groups without administrative pressure, Schwartz said.

The day after Schwartz gave his speech before the Student Religious Liberals—heavily attended by UOSS members—the Union voted 74-0 in favor of making an attempt to abolish the present student government and to draft a student bill of rights.

Both Schwartz and Judah Lansky, a UOSS coordinating committee member, remarked last week that they formulated objectives for the Union independently of each other. Yet the objectives were similar: to increase the student's voice in the management of student affairs without undue administrative interference.

By mid-October, the Union was being roundly criticized for being an entirely negative group.

This negative image the UOSS acquired was because the group was new, according to Charles Silberman, a coordinating committee member.

"We had just announced our program and the results of such projects as the counseling service (HELP), hadn't been publicized," he said. But by late November, the Union's programs and alternative proposals to student government were becoming more widely known.

The UOSS met Nov. 22 and voted to change its name and amend its constitution to comply with objections by Dean John T. Bonner and some members of the Council on Student Affairs.

Sanford Weinberg

"Most of those FSF members who opposed co-operation with the University have left FSF now," Silberman said. "The vast majority of our members are concerned with positive alternatives to what we think is wrong with student government and to student problems at the University."

In keeping with its objectives of positive alternatives, the Free Student Federation has the following programs in effect:

- HELP, P.O. Box 3046, is possibly the most successful FSF project
to date. HELP is a service to students in disciplinary trouble with the University. A tenured faculty member will advise any student as to his rights and will attend conferences the student has with a dean.

The students' and tenured faculty members' names are not publicized, according to Sanford Weinberg, coordinating committee member in charge of project HELP.

- "An Unofficial Guide to Ohio State" will be available next quarter. The publication is the project of John Manning, a graduate student in English and a co-ordinating committee member of FSF.

- The guide will provide information to students on course dropping, scheduling procedure and other information currently unavailable through any official University publication, according to FSF spokesmen.

- Women's rights are the subject of a questionnaire being prepared for circulation next quarter. The form will ask coeds for their opinions on such things as University rules concerning women's hours and dormitory regulations which either do not exist for men students or which are not enforced uniformly for both sexes.

- Signatures on petitions to place a referendum to abolish Student Senate on the all-campus March ballots will be tallied this week, Silberman said. Between 1,200 and 1,400 signers will be required for the referendum to appear on the March ballot. FSF has a booth on the Oval this week to get more signatures.

- A Student Bill of Rights has been drafted and will be voted upon at the first FSF general membership meeting next quarter.

An advance copy of the bill shows that the FSF opposes double jeopardy for students from civil and University authorities; revealing confidential, political, or clinical information on students to student government members; exclusion of certain library resources from students; and requiring students to live in dormitories.

In a little over two months, the FSF has become an organization officially recognized by the University with at least five major projects underway. It has turned from an organization which began with a bickering membership and negative image to one which offers positive alternatives to those things it criticizes.

As for the FSF's similarities with the old Fré Speech Front, Sanford Weinberg said: "We have an attitude in common: The student is a citizen of the United States and should have the same rights on campus as off. "But the Free Speech Front had one issue, the new FSF has many," he said.
FSF Petitions
Student Senate

Representatives of the Free Student Federation (formerly the Union of Ohio State Students) will be on the Oval today soliciting names for a petition asking the Student Senate "to suspend its constitution and to cease all operations until it is delegated authority consistent with the principle of autonomous student government."

If the Senate does not adopt the amendment within three weeks, the petition further asks that a referendum be held.

The question of the referendum is "Shall . . . the present services (of the Student Senate) be administered by the Traditions Board until the student body and administration jointly approve a constitution and bill of rights . . . And shall the Faculty Council be requested to sponsor . . . an open conference on the proper role of a student government . . . and should this open conference be directed towards the possible revision of the faculty rules on student government."

The faculty rule regarding the role of the Student Senate says, "The Student Senate shall be the recognized forum of student opinion concerning student affairs and all University matters affecting student life, including academic policies and procedures."

Sanford Weinberg, a member of the FSF coordinating committee, said that after the required signatures are obtained, the petition will be taken to the Senate for action.
Suspension of Senate
Asked in FSF Petition

By WILLIAM N. CURRY

The Free Student Federation submitted its petition to abolish the Student Senate to the Senate steering committee yesterday afternoon.

According to an FSF spokesman, the petition contains 1,212 signatures, or more than four per cent of the Fall Quarter undergraduate student body. The Senate constitution requires this four per cent before action can be taken on a petition.

The petition was submitted by Charles Silberman and Toby Emmer, members of the FSF petition committee. It was accepted by Eileen Roach, vice president of the Student Senate. The Senate as a group learned about the petition at last night’s regular meeting. Yesterday afternoon Silberman said that according to the registrar’s office there were 26,666 undergraduate students last quarter. A six per cent total, necessary for a student referendum, is 1,599. FSF must obtain 387 more signatures to force a referendum. “We can provide the extra signatures,” Silberman said.

The Senate’s first move on the petition will be to check the validity of the signatures through the registrar’s office, Miss Roach said. This should take about a week.

After the petition has been verified, it will be brought back to the Senate floor for action, she said.

The petition calls for the Student Senate to suspend its constitution until “it is delegated authority consistent with the principle of autonomous student government.”

The FSF petition says that if the constitution is not suspended or amended to conform with the above principle, a special referendum should be held the fifth Wednesday of this quarter. The referendum calls for:

- The dissolution of the Student Senate.
- The Traditions Board to handle the present services of the Senate until the student body and administration jointly approve a new constitution and bill of rights of the student body.
- The Faculty Council, subject to its agreement, to sponsor an open discussion on the proper role of student government.
- A Faculty Council meeting to determine if the faculty rules of student government need revision.

Criteria for acceptable signatures have not been completed, except that only undergraduate students’ signatures will be accepted.

One condition of the petition calls for the Traditions Board of Senate to handle certain vital functions, such as May Week, until a successor to the Senate is established.

However, Milton G. Yoder, commissioner of the Traditions Board, said last night that his group has never been approached by FSF about the matter.

Gary D. Crawford, a graduate student in English, represented FSF during a question-and-answer period with senators and commissioners. He said that FSF would continue to press for suspension of the Senate by referendum.

Even if the Senate takes action on its own to get the Faculty Council to determine a new form of student government, Crawford said: “The tearing down of an objectionable structure (Senate) is a positive move even before the establishment of a better structure.”

Yoder asked what activities Traditions Board would handle and why it was chosen.

“There are a few very vital functions that have to be carried out,” Crawford answered. “I guess someone thought of the Traditions Board.” Crawford suggested that finding a better solution to the problem of student government than the Senate could be done quickly.

In other action Miss Roach, substituting for the absent president, Thomas E. Workman, announced the opening of two Senate offices. They are director of elections and student government commissioner. These are appointed offices. Petitions are available in the Senate office. The positions became open when the students filling them last quarter failed to make their grades.

Two new senators were announced last night, one for Buckeye Political Party and one for the Student Congress Party. Alan L. Malm, of SCP, a sophomore in education, will represent District 9, and Linda Bennett of BPP, a sophomore in education, will represent District 10.

Timothy P. O’Reilly, education commissioner, said that the Student Senate book exchange held during final week of last quarter, handled 150 incoming calls. He said, “It was successful for a first trial,” and that an evaluation is being made for this quarter.
Fate of FSF Petition Still Is Undetermined

Student Senate President Thomas Workman said Tuesday he is not sure what measures will be taken on the Free Student Federation petition seeking to abolish the Senate.

He said action probably will be taken within a few days after he meets with other Senate officers and members to decide the best course to follow.

The FSF petition has the required number of signatures (4 per cent) to bring it before the Student Senate.

The validity of these signatures must be verified through the Registrar's Office.

Dean O. Clark, registrar, said this would not be possible until the fee book arrives listing students officially enrolled for Winter-Quarter.

The fee book will have to be checked for errors when it arrives Jan. 19 and this will probably take several additional days, Clark said.

Beside the registrar's check, the Student Senate must also check for duplication of signatures and names of graduate students on the petition.

"A faculty rule states that Student Senate represents undergraduate students at Ohio State," Workman said. "Therefore graduate students can't abolish it."

Workman expressed concern that many of those who signed the petition did not fully understand the many benefits they receive as a result of the Senate.

He said that he felt that lack of publicity in the Lantern concerning Senate's achievements was partially responsible for this.

O'Kelly Cites Danger of too Many Causes

Prof. Bernard O'Kelly of the English department warned about 100 students at the Free Student Federation meeting Wednesday against "making a movement out of everything."

O'Kelly was the guest speaker at an open meeting held in Denney Hall. Many of his past and present students were present, boosting the audience to nearly double the FSF's membership.

"Don't make everything a part of a big picture of who has the power," said O'Kelly, who also advises the Students for Liberal Action. "Always remember that we are not here as a union," he added.

Separate Entity

The theme of his speech was on the universities of the world acting as a separate entity in society.

The comparison of the university to a factory, market, army, political structure, family or monastery should not be accepted, O'Kelly said.

These analogies are sometimes a means to an end used to deal with the legislators or power elite, he said, but the university members should not believe such structures exist.

University 'Too Valuable'

"Universities are too valuable for anyone to monkey with," O'Kelly said. The pockets of higher learning should enjoy as complete autonomy—resulting from n-o-strings-attached financial aid—as humanly possible, according to the professor.

He warned that universities should also be free of the clock used by the rest of society and should not be formulated to serve immediate goals. He said that most universities are now working on someone else's time table.

American colleges should be composed of permanent teachers whose job it is to teach, and temporary learners whose job is to learn, O'Kelly said.

Communication should be carried out between these "temporary learners and permanent teachers" and not through administrative management, he added.

Elections Held

When the English professor's speech ended the 50 FSF members held elections for their nine-man co-ordinating board.

The federation also heard reports on their move to eliminate Student Senate. Booths will be open on the Oval Friday, Monday and Tuesday for students who want to sign petitions to do away with Senate.

The third draft of the group's bill of rights was also presented for examination and suggestions of amendments called for. The ultimate goal is to get the "Students Bill of Rights and Responsibilities" accepted by the Ohio State administration, according to group spokesmen.
FSF Awaits More Names For Petition

The Free Student Federation expects to gain more backing tonight for its move to abolish the Student Senate.

The meeting will be held at 7:30 p.m. in Room 352, Denney Hall.

The support will come, according to Charles Silberman, from 20 petitions calling for the suspension of the senator who have been circulating since last week.

He said that previously collected signatures contain 1,212 undergraduate signatures. These matters have been given to the senate.

The senate must now check signatures against registered enrollment for Winter Quarter.

Work may begin today. Until this time, the Registrar's records were not open for the check.

The 1,212 signatures represent four per cent of the undergraduates for Autumn Quarter, according to Silberman. The four per cent were required before the senate could accept them, according to its constitution.

Signatures from six per cent of the undergraduate enrollment is needed to bring the question to a student referendum.

Silberman has said that the additional signatures needed to make six per cent can be obtained. He added that he could not estimate whether the petitions to be collected today would bring the total up to six per cent.

The petitions call for the senate to suspend its constitution until "it is delegated authority consistent with the principle of autonomous student government."

The petition states further that if the constitution is not suspended or amended to conform with the above principle, a referendum should be held for:

The dissolution of the Student Senate.

The Traditions Board to handle the present services of the Senate until students and administration jointly approve a new constitution and a bill of rights.

The Faculty Council, subject to its agreement, to sponsor an open discussion on the proper role of student government.

A Faculty Council meeting to determine if the faculty rules of student government need revision.

FSF Okays Election Plan

by DAVID HOPCRAFT

The Free Student Federation approved yesterday strategy for its campaign to get approval of the referendum that would abolish the Student Senate.

Toby Emmer announced that Senate representatives have agreed to four debates to be held Feb. 21 at Bradford Commons at 7:30 p.m.; in Royer Commons Feb. 24; at the Student Union Feb. 27; and at Westminster Hall Feb. 28.

FSF also requested that any referendum publicly paid for by Senate give both sides of the issue.

Members argued that the Senate should not be allowed to use student money from its treasury to present only one side of the issue since it is a question involving many student groups.

The Federation also agreed to get a speaker from another campus to discuss functions of student government.

Robert Hoeger also gave a report on his "free press" investigation, reading a statement from L. E. Mullins, Lantern adviser.

Mullins said John T. Bonner, Jr., executive dean for student relations, had attempted to intimidate Lantern reporters and discredit the paper.

"In fairness to Dean Bonner, I appreciate the many times he has taken time to talk to our reporters to help them get information. He has done this far more times than he has thwarted our coverage, but because this is so, does not make these incidents of interference any less aggravating or improper.... Mullins' statement said.

Hoeger also read a letter from the undergraduate chapter of Sigma Delta Chi, professional journalistic society, which asked that ESF allow them to send a representative in "a consultory capacity" to their committee investigation.

Hoeger also offered cooperation to the Students Asking for Truthful Answers committee that gathered to inquire into the "free press" question two weeks ago.

A resolution was approved condemning the Columbus Police Department, especially Sgt. Donald Schwartz, for remarks that various liberal groups used narcotics to lure young students in membership. FSF was not mentioned in the resolution.

About 25 people attended the meeting held in Denny Hall.
Senate will Not Finance March Campaign of FSF

By WILLIAM N. CURRY

The Student Senate is not going to pay to publicize the Free Student Federation's side of the March 2 referendum calling for the abolition of the Senate, the Senate's Steering Committee announced yesterday.

Eileen M. Roach, student body vice president, said the Senate's constitution requires that the Senate finance publicity for both viewpoints of a constitutional amendment.

But the FSF referendum is an issue asking for an opinion and is not a constitutional amendment, she said.

Robert G. Hoerger, an FSF spokesman, said the Senate "might be morally obligated" to provide for FSF publicity since the FSF petitions represent a large number of students who disapprove of Senate.

Both Sides of Issue

FSF had requested Wednesday that any referendum publicity paid for by the Senate give both sides of the issue.

Miss Roach said only one of four tentative dates for Senate-FSF debates has been confirmed. It will be Feb. 21 at 7:30 p.m. in Bradford Commons.

Jeanne M. Mallett, Senate treasurer and a junior in Arts, announced that the Senate's bank balance is $11,455.37. She said Homecoming Week netted $6,575.84. The symposium series cost the Senate $3,052.13.

Kellogg to Speak

Jane E. Spencer, human relations commissioner and a junior in Education, announced that John Kellogg, a Cleveland city councilman, will speak on "Rights and Responsibilities" Tuesday at 8 p.m. in Ohio Suite A of the Ohio Union.

The Student Discount Service has been expanded to include the Electrical Appliance Co., 2375 N. High St. Carla J. Coffman, service secretary and a sophomore in Education, said that students presenting their fee cards will receive a 10 per cent discount on their total purchases.
FSF Gives Approval
To Student Rights’ Bill

By DAVID L. HOFCRAFT

The Free Student Federation approved a bill of students rights and responsibilities last night with a unanimous vote by the 25 members present.

"We are saying basically that the University has no right to control the rights of students outside the classroom," Max Roesler, a graduate student heading the rights committee, said. The bill of rights declares that the University should have no jurisdiction over women's hours, student organizations and students' choice of living quarters, according to Roesler.

The bill would protect students from double jeopardy, unlawful search and seizure and discrimination, he said.

The bill holds students responsible for devoting themselves to their education, seeking the truth, eliminating discrimination and respecting the academic integrity of the University, Roesler said.

"We tried to keep the bill general in most places because anything that is too specific could be outdated tomorrow," he said.

FSF plans to develop a short form of the bill "stripped of legalistic language" for mass distribution, Roesler said.

Wants 'Informed Criticism'

The group also wants to "get informed criticism from a select portion of the faculty" on the bill; and then present it for approval to the American Association of University Professors, the Conference Committee of the Teaching Staff and the Faculty Council, he said.

Roesler said the ultimate goal for the bill was to have it accepted as a guideline for student and university relations.

He said much of the construction of the bill was incorporated from a bill of rights the AAUP is now considering on a national level, from the University of Chicago bill of rights and responsibilities of the National Student Association.

A committee of about 12 people drew up the bill of rights, according to Roesler.

It has undergone discussion and revision by the group since the beginning of the quarter.

In other action, FSF said it will hold referendum that would abolish Stu-represented in the first debate on the dent Senate by Robert Hoerger and Toby Emmer.

Senate and FSF have agreed on four debates, the first in Bradford Commons at 7:30 p.m. Monday.

They also said they would not ally the campaign for approval of the with the Student Congress Party in referendum.

SCP has announced it will not enter a presidential candidate for Senate, but will back the referendum.
FSF Seeks Revisions in Convention Rules

By WILLIAM N. CURRY

Members of the Free Student Federation are reportedly planning to attend tonight's Student Senate meeting in order to see what action the Senate takes on FSF grievances over election rules for the April 23 constitutional convention.

FSF voted yesterday to file a petition with the Senate asking for changes in the regulations.

The petition asks:

- That any undergraduate student be permitted to campaign for a seat at the convention, not just students with three quarters or more of school before graduating.
- That the convention elections board be reorganized to include representatives from FSF, the Buckeye Political Party and the Student Congress Party. The present board is made up of the Student Senate representatives from eight campus groups.
- That the convention have two speaking but non-voting seats for FSF, BPP and SCP.

Oppose Other Rules

Some members of FSF also oppose the rules preventing organizations from backing candidates.

Robert G. Hoerger, a past member of the FSF coordinating committee, has said that this rule is a "denial of a student's right to campaign under a party label."

It is impossible for a town-district candidate to campaign successfully as an individual, he said.

"The practical way is for candidates to campaign together, especially if there are a hundred candidates," he said.

"How can a voter recognize 100 names? The concept of representation requires that voters can identify with a candidate with similar views. A slate of candidates should be permitted."

Stop Party Vote

Tim Neustadt, student body president, said that candidates may support a party. The restriction against party support of candidates was designed to keep students from voting strictly along political lines, he said.

He said the rule was his idea. "We don't want parties to endorse candidates in order to give everybody an even chance to campaign, especially the student who has never been in a party," he said.

As for the six speaking but non-voting seats to the convention, Neustadt said that this was for the convention to decide, not the Senate.

Picture of Simplicity

Hoerger said that during the campaign, Neustadt "painted a picture of simplicity and speed of the convention under the BPP proposal." Now Neustadt says the convention will last two or three quarters, Hoerger said.

Neustadt denied this, saying that the only campaign claim BPP made was that the convention proposal was "more definite" than the FSF referendum.

This brings up the issue of excluding from candidacy any student who will graduate before June, 1967.

Hoerger called the exclusion a "re-pudiation of the BPP campaign promise that anyone can run." It was explicitly stated that my colleagues and I could 'run,' he said. Hoerger is a senior.

To Provide Continuity

The reason for the restriction is to provide continuity. For the convention, Neustadt said, "Imagine what would happen to the convention if a number of senior delegates graduated in June," he said.

The convention will last more than five or six weeks after it meets April 23. Neustadt predicted.

"I can't say what is going to happen," he said, "but you can expect a new student government in four or five weeks (the time between the convention meeting and the end of the quarter)."

As for the composition of the present convention elections board, Neustadt said that its members represent campus groups and are not necessarily members of BPP.

The constitutional convention was accepted by the student body during last quarter's elections. It defeated a referendum proposed by FSF calling for the suspension of the Senate.

The FSF proposal also called for an open conference to be held by the Faculty Council to determine the role of student government and a new structure for student government at Ohio State.
FSF Disputes Rules at Rally

By JOHN E. SWITZER
Lantern Staff Writer

Members of the Free Student Federation voiced objections to constitutional convention election rules and listed proposals for revisions at a rally yesterday on the Oval. The rally, attended by about 250 persons, was sparked by an endorsement of FSF proposals by a Buckeye Political Party member.

The FSF proposes to: (1) contribute to the creation of an informed electorate by permitting candidates to identify publicly with campus organizations and the goals of these organizations; (2) let every student who wishes have a chance to participate directly in the convention by letting any undergraduate run, (3) have an election board composed of an equal number of members from FSF, BPP and the Student Congress Party.

Robert G. Hoerger, FSF spokesman, argued that the present convention rules:

- Put the election of convention delegates on a personal image rather than issues basis.
- Automatically bar many students from participating because of the accum and three-quarter requirements.
- Give the BPP a built-in majority on the election committee.

Student Senate Proposal

The Student Senate approved rules for delegate candidates, which the FSF opposes, say that delegates must have a 2.25 point hour, a 2.0 point hour for the last quarter and have at least three quarters left at Ohio State, that a candidate must campaign without endorsement or financial support from a campus political party or other student organization; and that a convention election board be made up of the Student Senate representatives from the Council of Fraternity Presidents, the South Campus Student Association, the North Campus Student Association, the Freshman Senate, the House Presidents Council, Women's Panhellenic, the Women's Self-Government Association and the Inter-College Council.

Ronald M. Baker, a BPP student senator, said at the rally that he became disenchanted with BPP after the Student Senate reneged on a campaign promise that all students would be eligible to run for the constitutional convention.

Student Opposes BPP

"I deplore the action the Senate took last Thursday," Baker said. "The Senate turned down revision plans proposed by FSF. "I cannot be a strong supporter of any party that will without reservation lie so blatantly to the student body. As long as BPP is hostile to reforms and unconcerned for the most part with students' rights I shall remain its critic. As long as the BPP Senate continues its present course Student Senate will remain as inept as it has been in the past," he said.

Hoerger, after reading the FSF position, said, "We look with dismay and apprehension upon a fully partisan body which proclaims its sincerity in affecting democratic reforms and in the same breath denies so many the right to become candidates and correspondingly denies the voters the right to elect who they want."

Hoerger Endorses Support

Hoerger said that FSF does not want parties to support candidates financially but that he wants candidates to be able to band together with others who hold similar views and campaign, send mailings or buy ads together.

Because the voter is going to be faced with "a bedsheet ballot" on election day, he said, the voter should have some indication on the ballot as to how a candidate stands on issues. Hoerger said that under present restrictions the election will degenerate into a competition of personalities.

He declared that a non-partisan election board is impossible because all involved will support one group or another.

FSF will hold its weekly meeting at 7:30 p.m. tomorrow in Room 100, Page Hall.
At a rally on the Oval Monday, a spokesman for the Free Student Federation attacked three of the rules which will govern selection of delegates to the constitutional convention.

The FSF spokesman stated that the rules set up by the Senate would:

1. Put the election of convention delegates on a personal image rather than an issue basis.
2. Automatically bar many students from participating because of the point hour and three quarter requirements.
3. Give the Buckeye Political Party a built-in majority on the election committee.

As a solution, the FSF proposed the following alternatives to the Senate rules:

1. Contribute to the creation of an informed electorate by permitting candidates to identify with campus organizations and the goals of these organizations.
2. Let every student who wishes have a chance to participate directly in the convention by letting any undergraduate run.
3. Have an election board composed of an equal number of members from the FSF, BPP and the Student Congress Party.

It appears that the first FSF alternative would do just what the FSF says it doesn't want — place the election of convention delegates on a personal or group level. A delegate to the convention should be known for the issues he believes in, and not for how well he does what his group or leader tells him to do.

In regard to FSF's second alternative, every organization on this campus must adhere to a university ruling requiring students to have 2.25 point hour for membership. This average is the same required for convention delegates. This ruling is made to help students as well as organizations. If a student is having trouble keeping up his grades, the extra responsibility of the convention may force him to be dismissed for scholastic reasons, which will not help either the student or student government.

The three quarter ruling is logical. To insure continuity it is necessary for delegates to be on campus for at least three quarters. Let's face reality. It's impossible for the convention to bring about all needed reforms in just one quarter of work. The convention could only be hindered by having to spend unnecessary time replacing the graduated seniors.

FSF's last alternative seems to neglect the fact that the elections board is made up of representatives from the Student Senate, South Campus Student Association, North Campus Student Association, the Freshman Senate, the House Presidents Council, Women's Panhellenic, the Women's Self-Government Association, the Inter-College Council and the Council of Fraternity Presidents.

It is unlikely that every member of the election board is a staunch backer of the BPP. Until the election board announces the delegates, the FSF's fears that the board composes a built-in majority favoring the BPP are totally unfounded.
Three speakers discussed the problems of the conscientious objector, the campus news media and the professional academician at a May Day teach-in sponsored by the Free Student Federation yesterday afternoon. About 150 persons attended.

Stan Robinson Jr., a Columbus attorney, discussed the draft and the college student. Thomas G. Eynon, associate professor of sociology and anthropology, spoke on the importance of news media on campus.

John R. Champlin, political science instructor, discussed the role of the professional academician.

Champlin's topic was, "On Being Academic." He said the worth of the professional academician is measured by the products of those he teaches.

Faculty Power Low

The power of the faculty is not very great, he said. "Our primary tactic is to educate people. It is the only way the university can make contributions to society."

"Some formality should be maintained between the students and the faculty," Champlin said.

"If they (faculty) become too involved with student problems, they will encroach upon student independence."

Robinson, who spoke on the conscientious objector, said he was not opposing the war in Vietnam or draft dodging.

He listed the legal steps the conscientious objector should follow.

Eynon spoke on "The Role of Communication in a University in a Democratic Society."

Provides Exchange of Ideas

"The function of the media is to provide a place for the exchange of ideas," he said. "The media must be free from control if there is to be a truthful and complete exchange of communications."

Eynon said effective communications cannot exist without interaction between groups.

"On a campus there must be mutual respect between the faculty, students and the administration; even though it is sometimes undeserved," he said.

Eynon said he does not support the FSF stand on the communications problem and would "not be one of a party to call for the resignation of a man not yet on campus."

Teach-In Will Vie With May Day Fun

The Free Student Federation will sponsor a three-hour teach-in Thursday while traditional May Day events take place on the Oval.

The teach-in, featuring speakers on the draft and campus news media, will be held in University Hall from 1 to 4 p.m.

Attorney to Speak

Stan Robinson Jr., a local attorney, will discuss the draft and the college student. Thomas G. Eynon, associate professor of sociology and anthropology, will speak on the importance of news media on campus.

John R. Champlin, political science instructor, will discuss student-faculty rights and responsibilities.

Robert L. Queisser IV, spokesman for FSF, said the group hopes the teach-in "will be established as a tradition to stimulate dialogue in important areas where little or none exists now."

"There is so little discussion on campus," Queisser said. "Many students don't see the issues of the day."

Second Teach-in

This will be the second year that FSF has held a teach-in on May Day. Last year's teach-in protested the Speakers' Rule.

Toby E. Emmer, a 1966 graduate who planned last year's teach-in, said the teach-in was designed to help bring about "the kind of university which many students who had expressed dissatisfaction wanted."

Miss Emmer said there is a need for a teach-in this year to "provide a way for students to creatively discuss ways to improve the University."

Corps Day Fun

Max Roesler, spokesman for FSF, said members of FSF are not opposed to "fun and games." He said that Corps Day (May Day) has been misrepresented here as a day of sheer fun and games.

"I don't think a corps display symbolizes fun and games," he said. "It symbolizes war."

He said the teach-in will contrast the serious concern of students about regimentation and impersonality of the University with the traditional May Day games.

Superb Idea

David Spitz, professor of political science, who spoke at last year's teach-in, said the teach-in is a "superb idea."

"May Day here is a bunch of boys and girls parading like toy soldiers and chasing each other around the Oval," he said. "Serious students are interested in promoting education. They will use the day to take advantage of a chance to add to education."
FSF Urges Adoption Of Minimum Wage

By JOHN SWITZER

A statement urging that Ohio State adopt the federal minimum wage standard of $1.25 an hour for student labor will be sent to President Novice G. Fawcett.

Written by members of the Free Student Federation, the statement is part of a "student labor policy" adopted at last night's FSF meeting.

If the administration fails to raise student wages an FSF committee has been formed to seek ways to force compliance with wage laws.

Letter to Be Sent

In other action a letter drafted by the Off-Campus Liaison Committee—an FSF unit seeking to make administration problems at Ohio State a political issue in the fall election—was read and approved. The letter will be sent out in the hope that candidates will adopt administrative improvement at Ohio State as part of their platforms.

The letter reads in part: "We, a concerned group of students at the Ohio State University, feel that there are a number of pressing problems in higher education in Ohio and especially at Ohio State. Therefore we have formed a committee to promote statewide thought and discussion of these problems by collecting facts on the issues, and to inform interested groups and persons of these facts.

'Center of Controversies'

"As you well know, the Ohio State University has been the center of numerous controversies in recent years. Among those which have achieved widespread news coverage are issues as diverse as: the establishment of the College of Biological Sciences, the proposed residence for the president of the Ohio State University, and the free speech issue. We feel that these controversies are of less importance in themselves than symptomatic of a general uncertainty as to the goals and means of the administration of higher education in general. We have established and are adding to a documentation of facts pertinent to these and related issues."

Because FSF feels there is inadequate communication between the faculty and students at Ohio State, an Educational Policy Committee was formed to improve student faculty communication.

The prime concern of the committee will be to promote student involvement in review and revision of curriculum, increase student-faculty contact outside classrooms and office hours and most important the revision of inadequate faculty counseling of students, particularly freshmen and sophomores.

FSF Creates Forum To Invite Speakers

In its first regular meeting of the quarter, the Free Student Federation voted to form a new subcommittee, the Free Student Forum. The committee's purpose will be to consider the possibility of inviting controversial speakers to the campus.

Possible speakers include: Ann Braden, who has spoken against the House Un-American Activities Committee; Donald Duncan, ex-master sergeant in the U.S. Special Forces who has spoken against the war in Vietnam; and Stokely Carmichael, national secretary of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee.

Donna Goodman will head the new subcommittee.

Mike Andes said a clarification was needed of a Lantern statement which he felt implied FSF control of the Free University.

"The Free University is not nor has it been controlled by FSF. It is a complete entity within itself," he said.

An election of the Autumn Quarter FSF Coordinating Committee was held. Members include: Jim Anderson, Ronald Baker, David Frankle, Donna Goodman, John Helm, Mike Heyman,

Mike Murtha, Chuck Nelson, Julie Sherman, and Chuck Silverman.

Several issues were discussed during the meeting but no definite action was taken.

These issues included inequities in the present faculty parking system, failure of the Arts College to implement its honor system, possible housing discrimination in the University area, possible censorship powers in the Lantern's advertising policy, and possible deficiencies in the river dormitories.

About 50 persons attended the meeting in Room 100, Page Hall.

The next regular meeting will be at 7:30 p.m. on Tuesday, October 25. The place for the meeting will be announced later.
FSF Loses Recognition

11-17-66
By SUSAN STINE
Lantern Staff Writer

The Free Student Federation and 14 other organizations are no longer recognized student groups at Ohio State.

The Council on Student Affairs last night withdrew recognition because the groups failed to file Fall Quarter report forms with the dean of men by Oct. 28.

Myron H. Rose, dean of men, said the groups were notified that their forms were not received.

Other groups losing recognition are the Students for Liberal Action, Alpha Tau Delta, the Arab-American Association, the India Association, the James E. Hagerty Society, the Mennonite Fellowship, and the OSU Cricket Club.

The Student Chapter of the Society of Women Engineers, the Student Occupational Therapy Association, the Towers Honorary, the United Campus Christian Fellowship, the Young Friends, and the English Graduate Club.

Recognition was withdrawn from the Fraternity Pledge Council at the request of its adviser.

The council granted provisional recognition to Tai Chi and Chi Kung Association.

Boyd Borstow, graduate representative to CSA said there is a problem of graduate students parking on campus after 2 a.m. He told the council that graduate students working on academic and research projects often need to stay on campus after 2 a.m. to complete them. According to University regulations automobiles with "C" stickers are prohibited to park in campus lots after 2 a.m.

The council resolved to ask the Faculty Committee to the President and the Board of Trustees and the Faculty Committee on Traffic and Parking to make an exception to the rule in the case of these graduate students.

The council approved two amendments concerning the composition of the Student Commission, which handles student organization violations.

The changes give the South Campus Student Association a representative to the commission, deleting clauses for former men's and women's residence hall association representatives.

The Judicial Board is better qualified to select Student Court members than the Student Senate, Somerlot told the council.

Timothy Neustadt, president of the student body and ex-officio member of CSA, told the council that he agreed with Somerlot that the Senate director was not qualified to select justices.

Neustadt said he believed the Senate should have the right to ratify the board's selections.

The proposed change must be approved by Senate and CSA before it is final.

FSF Plans to Hold New Left Workshop

11-26-66

The Free Student Federation will hold a workshop to discuss the "New Left" movement on Saturday, Jan. 21 in the Ohio Union.

According to Donna Goodman, chairman of the planning committee, representatives from organizations such as the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee, the W. E. B. DuBois Club, and the Students for a Democratic Society, will be invited to discuss the aims of their organizations.

A round table discussion will be held in the Union's Conference Theater from 10 a.m. until noon. From 1 p.m. until 3 p.m. discussion groups will meet in several Buckeye Suites.

FSF also discussed the possibility of holding a march on the Statehouse to demonstrate lack of financial aid to Ohio State University.

According to Michael Heyman, Ohio State receives less state aid than any other Big 10 school and less than the national average of state financial aid to higher education.

FSF also discussed their findings on files kept on students on campus. According to James Anderson, chairman of the fact-finding committee, many of these files are not open to investigation by the students involved.
CSA Recognizes New FSF Group

By CHARLES G. FENTON

The Council on Student Affairs last night granted full recognition to the Free Student Federation (FSF) on one condition: that the group provide in its constitution for an officer to serve as president, chairman or spokesman.

FSF, formerly called the Union of Ohio State Students, recently changed its name and revised its constitution to meet objections to its recognition voiced at a CSA meeting Nov. 17.

Adviser Attends

Gordon K. Grigsby, assistant professor of English and adviser to FSF, and Joseph E. Mullin, a graduate assistant in English and member of the group's coordinating committee, attended last night's CSA meeting to explain the group's organization and purposes.

FSF is currently circulating petitions on campus calling for a referendum vote on a proposal to abolish Student Senate.

CSA passed a resolution to permit the Student Judicial Board to take $300 from the Student Court fund for current operating expenses.

Expenses Estimated

The Judicial Board has estimated expenses at $100 for telephone, $70 for stationery and postage and $110 for representation in Makio, the campus yearbook.

If CSA had not passed the resolution, Judicial Board planned to consider levying one dollar court costs on all cases found guilty by Student Court.

A proposal by the Council of Graduate Students that graduate student representatives be permitted to sit on certain University committees and councils was accepted by CSA "to be transmitted to an appropriate faculty body."

Graduate Representation

Graduate students would like to have representatives attend meetings of the following:

Advisory Committee on Cultural Programs, Committee on Academic Misconduct, Council on Instruction, Council on Research, Graduate Council, Library Council, Ohio Union Council and University Scholarship and Loan Committee.

CSA granted an extension of provisional recognition to the U.A.R. (United Arab Republic) Student Soci-
ety.

Full recognition was granted to the Ancient Egyptian Theological Association, the Bahá'í Club and the Law Student Civil Rights Research Council.

Friends of SNCC

Consideration of recognition for Friends of SNCC was postponed until the Jan. 5 CSA meeting. Provisional recognition for the group was extended to that date.

FSF, Eight Other Groups Are Re-recognized by CSA

The University Community Committee to End the War in Vietnam was granted provisional recognition as a student organization by the Council on Student Affairs last night.

The Free Student Federation also regained recognition.

CSA re-recognized the FSF and eight other student groups which lost recognition Nov. 6 because they did not file Autumn Quarter forms with the dean of men.

The groups include: Alpha Tau Delta, the Arab-American Association, the James B. Hagerty Society, the India Association, the Mennonite Fellowship, the Student Occupational Therapy Association, Towers Honorary, and the United Campus Christian Fellowship.

The Students for Liberal Action and four other groups which also lost recognition Nov. 16 for failure to file

Tótems were not on the CSA agenda for re-recognition.

The Agricultural Corpsmen were also granted provisional recognition. The Graduate Music Student Association and the Lorain County OSU Student Association were granted full recognition.

A professional college commission that would hear disciplinary cases from the five professional schools was dissolved by CSA.

Clark Pritchett Jr., law student and member of CSA asked Thomas Sawyer, CSA representative to the Publications Board, to bring CSA a list of Makio profits for the past several years. Sawyer previously reported that Makio profits were declining rapidly.
FSF Concerned With Student Rights

By SUSAN FREY
2-1-67
2nd Staff Writer

The seven members of the Free Student Federation's co-ordinating committee elected this quarter, though their opinions often vary, are basically concerned with protecting student rights at Ohio State.

They are aware that the Constitutional Convention, on which several FSF members have worked as associate or non-voting members, could be the solution to guaranteeing student rights on campus.

Some are considering the idea of FSF becoming a campus political party, since the Buckeye Political Party has no opponent.

None of the FSF co-ordinating committee members consider the organization radical. They are concerned that FSF is not doing enough to further student rights on campus.

Governing Body

FSF's co-ordinating committee is the governing body of the organization.

FSF originated as the Free Speech Front in the summer of 1965 to change the speakers rule of the Board of Trustees.

After the rule was changed, the group gained university approved recognition and became the Free Student Federation.

William Parker, a member of FSF's co-ordinating committee and a junior in Arts, said that one of the basic functions of FSF is to go beyond simple reforms. "Some attention should be paid to the reasons why there are bad regulations."

"Part of radically changing a university that is dead politically and socially," Parker said, "is changes in the consciousness of the students."

Parker is also president of Students for Liberal Action, a member of Students for a Democratic Society, and a member of the Ohio State University Committee to End the War in Vietnam.

Increase Campus Dialogues

Maurice Solkov, a member of the co-ordinating committee and a senior in Arts, said that the function of FSF is to "increase campus dialogue on significant issues relative to the campus community and to promote reform."

Solkov is also an associate member of the Constitutional Convention.

"FSF was organized and has maintained itself as a focal point for university reform that didn't have any definite channels," said Michael Murtha, also a member of the co-ordinating committee and a graduate student in environmental design.

Murtha is also a member of the Council of Graduate Students and taught a course in art for the Free University last quarter.

James Anderson, a member of the committee and a graduate student in journalism, said, "Our issues are always centered on student conditions so our future is always dependent on student interest and the problems we are attacking and the nature of those problems."

Anderson is teaching creative photography for the Free University this quarter.

After this story was compiled, Anderson announced his resignation from the Co-ordinating Committee of the FSF.

"FSF is filling the vacuum of a student government to protect student rights defined by federal law," said Michael Heyman, a member of the committee and a junior in Arts.

He cited issues such as the free speech movement and the minimum wage law for students working for Ohio State.

Heyman is also a member of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the Ohio State University Committee to End the War in Vietnam, and a former member of Phi Eta Sigma, a freshman honorary, and the Board of Trustees of the young Democrats.

Offers Alternatives

According to Michael Andes, a member of the committee and a senior in Arts, the purpose of FSF is to "offer and promote alternatives to some of the administrative practices on campus."

"FSF should act in whatever ways necessary to see that these alternatives are considered and put into action," he said.

Andes is also a member of the Free University, Concerned Individuals for Peace, and the Ohio State University committee to End the War in Vietnam.

An Interest Organization

Jody Schor, a member of FSF's co-ordinating committee and a sophomore in social work, said, "FSF is primarily an interest organization."

"FSF is doing what no other group is going to do with student rights," she said. "I'm in FSF primarily to try to get the privileges I am allotted outside of the university area."

She is also a member of the Ohio State University Committee to End the War in Vietnam and Students for Liberal Action, and a former member of the Sundial and University choir.

Most of the members of the co-ordinating committee want to encourage the work of the Constitutional Convention.

"FSF should promote more concern about the Convention," said Andes. "We should force the body to realize its own responsibilities and live up to them."

Solkov presented a 40 page report entitled "Student Rights in Relationship to The Ohio State University."

"This gets down to the whole relationship between the University and the student," Solkov said. "FSF as a whole has not overtly acted because of the work of the Convention."

Anderson, in speaking of the Convention, said, "I'm particularly interested in the Rights Committee because once a student's rights are clearly guaranteed, the student government is less consequential."

"It is unfortunate that just a few students are working on the Convention," said Miss Schor. "I think those students are getting something done. I hope the student body accepts the constitution."

"Campus Freedom" Party

A few members of the co-ordinating committee are considering the possibility of making FSF into a campus political party.

Parker said, "What I would like to see FSF do on this campus is work towards creating a political party, very carefully considering what happens to the Constitutional Convention."

"What I see happening," he continued, "is the formation of what I call a Campus Democratic (CF) Party."

"Student government now assumes that it derives its power from the administration," Parker said. "I feel student government has done its job service to the administration long enough."

According to Heyman, Ohio State is disobeying the federal government in some of its practices such as not paying students that work for Ohio State the minimum wage.

"We like to work with the government," Heyman said. "We'd like a miniature federal government here so our rights wouldn't be infringed upon. Radical groups don't work within the system."

FSF Improvements

Several of the co-ordinating committee members have ideas which they think may improve FSF.

Andes commented, "I'd like..."
to see FSF get bigger. The general meetings could be run more efficiently if there were more discussion at co-ordinating committee meetings. FSF should make a more active attempt to gather more support."

Parker listed a number of things. "I wish there were more ways to attract people," said Miss Schor. "That's a basic problem in all the groups I'm in."

Hasn't Done Much

Solkov said that FSF seemingly hasn't done much this year as far as political activity is concerned. "We are still acting behind the scenes and there have been dialogues on many issues," he said.

Parker is interested in immediate action. "It's about time students stop being molded by the administration and start molding themselves and becoming individuals, developing intellectually in a free environment," he said. "The ultimate end of FSF is to dissolve itself."

mistakes he said he feels FSF has made. One of these mistakes is organizing around a single issue.

"When the issue dies, the organization dies," he said. "Another mistake is organizing around dead issues that, if brought up, might cause enforcement."

Free University Bad

Parker also said that organizing free universities is bad because the vast majority of the campus is unaffected. "Things all die off during the summer," Anderson said. "It takes fall quarter to get things started. Research continues through the winter and everything comes to a head in the spring. When there are no big issues, memberships are always small."
FSF Urges
Files Policy
2-3-67

The Free Student Federation cited "a need for a formal, written, and consistent policy" regarding student files in a position paper adopted by the 12 members present at its meeting last night.

The paper, presented by Maurice Solkov, spokesman for FSF and a senior in Arts, stated:

- "There is no formal policy regarding what records are kept or who has access to them."
- "There is no consistent operational policy governing release of files."
- "There seems to be a conflict over the operational policy governing psychiatric records."

In other action, Solkov said that FSF is "cautiously watching" the situation at the School of Journalism "as we always have been."

He said he wanted to emphasize that FSF did not initiate an investigation but "just heard a report."

He said the report contained questions FSF thought should be presented to inform the campus.

FSF Seeks
Change For
Student Files
2-24-67

President Novice G. Fawcett has suggested that the Free Student Federation draft proposals for changes in University policy on student records.

Fawcett made this suggestion at a meeting last Saturday with three other University officials and four representatives from FSF.

The meeting was called after Fawcett received a request from Maurice Solkov, spokesman for FSF and a senior in Arts, that the University have a formal policy regarding student records.

Michael Murtha, spokesman for FSF, said the major concern of all those present was getting a clear definition of what is in the files, who keeps them, who has access to them, and the procedures involved in placing information in the files and removing it.

Fawcett said that he suggested FSF "develop a statement useful to the University." The proposal would be studied by Fawcett and other University officials who would decide whether a formal statement is needed and what it should include.

According to Murtha, the FSF members were assured that "misuse of files was due to improper understanding of University policy."

"I think the meeting was mutually beneficial," Solkov said. "Both the administration and FSF got some idea of the problem areas and I think this will initiate attempts by both to find some realistic solutions."

University officials present at the meeting were: Fawcett, John E. Corbally, Jr., vice president for academic affairs and provost of the university; John T. Bonner, Jr., executive dean of student relations, and Kenneth E. Krouse, special assistant to the president.

FSF representatives were Murtha, Solkov, James Anderson, a graduate student in journalism, and William Parker, a junior in Arts.

The meeting was closed to the press.
FSF Opposes OSU Rule

On Dormitory Living

FSF Meet Is Closed To Public

By CHANDLEY MURPHY
Lantern Staff Writer

The Free Student Federation last night opposed in part the university rule which obligates freshmen and sophomores to live in dormitories.

After more than an hour of discussion, a resolution was stated and adopted. The resolution read, "the 'rule' should not be enforced for any student who has three or more quarters at the University."

FSF Spokesman Maurice Solkov said the university rule is not being enforced now. But because Ohio State has just built two new dormitories, which by next fall should house nearly 4,000 students, Solkov said he believes the rule probably will be enforced Fall Quarter 1967.

Before the group began discussing the dormitory rule, Solkov told those present that FSF was a fairly loosely structured organization.

"If you're here," he said, "you're a voting member."

During the discussion, the major problems pointed out by the members were whether the University had a right to enforce such a rule, how FSF wanted the rule changed and what they would do to change it.

One freshman dorm resident, Arleigh Luckett, said she thought it would be effective to get petitions against the rule, write to the Lantern about it and possibly have students refuse to sign dorm contracts.

Solkov agreed the group ought to consider such tactics and suggested that FSF present its resolution to Student Senate Thursday in hopes the Senators might put it on the spring ballot.

A vote was taken and it was decided to present the resolution as a referendum to the student body. Solkov said it possibly could be placed on the ballot April 12 when the proposed constitution is presented to undergraduate students.

mentioned a continuation of the HELP program, which is designed to insure the student's right to bring a faculty member with him to University disciplinary proceedings.

He also told the members that they should continue their work in studying the University's policy of student personnel records, women's housing and the obligation of freshmen and sophomores to live in dormitories.

All the ideas were discussed but no definite action was taken for any of them. A resolution was adopted to have a special meeting tonight on the dormitory rule.

Jody Schor, a sophomore in social work, said she felt the group needed a publicity chairman to notify more people of the meetings.

Another FSF member, Barbara Dobranic, a junior in arts, said she thought a committee should be appointed to study the University rule which states a final grade cannot be changed unless it is a clerical error. She said some students receive failing grades for their activities at the University.

Solkov said the grade rule was a very complex problem. "Why don't you work on it," he said to her.